

#### LV CONVEGNO DI STUDI

## METAMORFOSI VERDE AGRICOLTURA, CIBO, ECOLOGIA

Complesso monumentale di San Pietro
Dipartimento di Scienze agrarie, alimentari e ambientali
PERUGIA 13-15 settembre 2018

# Urban farming initiatives and Millennials: attitudes and behavioral intentions

Carzedda M\*., Marangon F., Nassivera F., Troiano S., University of Udine, Dept. of Economics and Statistics, Udine, Italy

\*corresponding author: carzedda.matteoOspes.uniudit

### **Abstract**

By 2045 over nine billion people will live in urban contexts worldwide (UN, 2015). The main drivers of this process are, on the one hand, the global population growth, and on the other hand, the steady increase in urbanization of rural people looking for better living conditions. This is likely to determine several consequences: first, conventional agriculture in rural areas is losing workforce; secondly, the expansion of urban areas is reducing the availability of agricultural land; third, rural people wiro heavily rely on sell-production, once urbanized, will seek for alternative food sources. The broad impacts of these trends, from global food security: and food safety to resource use and envirormrental impact (Seto & Ramankutty, 2016), are already redefining the relationship between humanity and food, and raise the necessity of integrated urban planning, resource management, and food production (Godfray & Garnett, 2014).

In developed countries, ethical and envirormrental concerns on these issues have pushed the diffusion of Alternative Food Networks (AFNs), to try to overcome inefficiency and lack of sustainability (Som Castellano, 2015). Among these, urban famfing initiatives, that is food production within or next to urban areas, are drawing the attention of researchers, policy makers and urban planners, for their potential in terms of overall sustainability of food production and urban areas, as well as the ethical and economic considerations that underpin these initiatives (Erwein, 2014). Indeed, the impacts of urban agriculture, in temis of food production, provision of ecosystems services, and occupation, are widely explored in scientific literature (McClintock, 2010; Colding & Barthel, 2013). However, few studies focus on Millennials' attitudes towards these phenomena, despite this generation's well-known propensity to sustainable and environmentally friendly belraviors.

Using data from a cross-sectional survey, this study proposes a theoretical model that imposes the relationships between latent constructs in consumer behavior, investigating the applicability of the Theory of Plarmed Behavior (TPB), with special emphasis on measuring the mediator effect of attitude on the relationship between subjective norms and buying

intention with respect to possible urban farming initiatives. A structured questionnaire based on the theory of plamied behavior to measure its components (behavioral intentions, attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived belravioral contro!) in relation to an urban famring initiative was devised and randomly administered to university students in Friuli Venezia Giulia region, in the North East part of Italy. Construct validity is assessed through Confimatory Factor Analysis (CFA) in the measurement mode! stage. Subsequently, structural modeling is implemented to test the hypotheses and mode! fit. The results of this study allow us to test the proposed model hypothesis in supporting the mediation role of attitude in the relationship between subjective norms and buying intention. Most previous studies overlooked the role of subjective norms in determining buying intentions with respect to different contexts. The value of this pioneer study lies in an in-depth exploration of direct and mediating effects of attitude towards urban farming initiatives in the North East of Italy.

**Keywords**: Alternative Food Networks, Urban farming, Structural equation modeling, Millennials, Behavioral intention

### References

- Colding, J., and Barthel, S. (2013). The potential of `Urban Green Commons' in the resilience building of cities. Ecological Economics, 86, 156-166.
- Erwein, M., (2014). Framing urban gardening and agriculture: on space, scale and the public. Geoforum, 56, 77-86.
- Godfray, H. C. J., and Garnett, T., (2014). Food security and sustainable intensification. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 369, 1-10.
- McClintock, N., (2010). Why fami the city? Theorizing urban agriculture through a lens of metabolic rift. Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, 3(2), 191-207).
- Seto, K. C., and Ramankutty, N., (2016). Hidden linkages between urbanization and food systems. Science, 352(6288), 943-945.
- Som Castellano, R. L., (2015). Alternative food networks and food provisioning as a gendered act. Agriculture and human values, 32(3), 461-474.
- United Nations (UN), (2015). World urbanization prospects. United Nations: New York.